

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,298	09/18/2006	Munenori Noguchi	2006_1071A	8465
513 7590 060952099 WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 1030 15th Street, N.W., Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			EXAMINER	
			MAI, HAO D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/593 298 NOGUCHI, MUNENORI Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit HAO D. MAI 3732 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-73 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-73 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SZ/UE)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/593,298 Page 2

Art Unit: 3732

Election/Restriction

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I: Claims 1-5, 15-19, 24-28, 33-41, drawn to an occlusal surface transfer instrument. classified in 433/72.

Group II: Claims 6-13, 20-23, 29-32, 42-45, 52-62, and 68-73, drawn to an articulator, classified in 433/54.

Group III: Claims 46-51 and 63-67, drawn to an occlusal surface setting instrument, classified in 433/68.

Group IV: Claim 14, drawn to a method of making an artificial tooth, classified in class 433/215.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

- i. Groups II-IV lack the occlusal transfer instrument claimed in group I.
- ii. Groups I and III-IV lack the articulator claimed in group II.
- iii. Groups I-II and IV lack the occlusal surface setting claimed in group III.
- iv. Groups I-III lack the method claimed in group IV.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3732

Application/Control Number: 10/593,298

Note that claims 6-13, 20-23, 29-32, and 42-73, are improper dependent claims as they
fail to further limit the subject matter of the respective independent base claims.

- For example, claim 6 is drawn to an articulator and fails to further limit the transfer instrument of the independent claim 1. It is important to note that the claim language "such that a median line setting rod constituting an occlusal surface transfer instrument according to claim 1 is disposed perpendicularly to said bottom plate on the condition that a hardened bite material held ..." (lines 12-20 of claim 6), does not actively claim the transfer instrument of claim 1 but only describes the capability of the articulator for having such transfer instrument being disposed therein.
- Another example is claim 46, which is drawn to a setting instrument and fails to further limit the transfer instrument of the independent claim 15. The claim language "the median line guiding protruding member <u>having the same section shape</u> as a protruding member of a median line setting rod constituting an occlusal surface transfer instrument according to claim 15" (lines 12-15 of claim 46), <u>does not actively claim the transfer instrument of claim 15 but only describes a structure of the setting instrument having the same section shape as a structure of the transfer instrument.</u>
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention. Should applicant traverse on the

Application/Control Number: 10/593,298 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or

identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the

inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to HAO D. MAI whose telephone number is (571)270-3002. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on (571) 272-4964.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system. call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hao D Mai/ Examiner, Art Unit 3732

/Cris L. Rodriguez/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3732